Analytical Characterization of an Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay for the Measurement of Transforming Growth Factor β1 in Human Plasma

Brendan M. Giles,¹* Timothy T. Underwood,¹ Karim A. Benhadji,² Diana K. S. Nelson,^{1,3} Lisa M. Grobeck,¹ Boris Lin,² Shuaicheng Wang,⁴ Jeffrey A. Fill,² Michael Man,² Kelly R. Pitts,¹ and Alison Bamberg¹

Background: The transforming growth factor β (TGF- β)-signaling pathway has emerged as a promising therapeutic target for many disease states including hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC). Because of the pleiotropic effects of this pathway, patient selection and monitoring may be important. TGF- β 1 is the most prevalent isoform, and an assay to measure plasma levels of TGF- β 1 would provide a rational biomarker to assist with patient selection. Therefore, the objective of this study was to analytically validate a colorimetric ELISA for the quantification of TGF- β 1 in human plasma.

Methods: A colorimetric sandwich ELISA for TGF-β1 was analytically validated per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute protocols by assessment of precision, linearity, interfering substances, and stability. A reference range for plasma TGF-β1 was established for apparently healthy individuals and potential applicability was demonstrated in HCC patients.

Results: Precision was assessed for samples ranging from 633 to 10822 pg/mL, with total variance ranging from 28.4% to 7.2%. The assay was linear across the entire measuring range, and no interference of common blood components or similar molecules was observed. For apparently healthy individuals, the average TGF- β 1 level was 1985 ± 1488 pg/mL compared to 4243 ± 2003 pg/mL for HCC patients. Additionally, the TGF- β 1 level in plasma samples was demonstrated to be stable across all conditions tested, including multiple freeze–thaw cycles. **Conclusions:** The ELISA described in this report is suitable for the quantification of TGF- β 1 in human plasma and for investigational use in an approved clinical study.

IMPACT STATEMENT

Inhibition of the TGF- β -signaling pathway is being developed for several disease states including hepatocellular carcinoma. The complexity of the pathway requires careful patient selection, and TGF- β 1 is a rational choice as a biomarker because it is the most prevalent circulating isoform of the superfamily. A colorimetric TGF- β 1 ELISA was analytically validated via assessment of assay performance, analyte stability, and applicability in hepatocellular carcinoma patients. The TGF- β 1 ELISA met the performance and quality specifications for laboratory medicine, which suggests the test will be a valuable tool for investigational use in an approved clinical study.

¹Corgenix Inc., Broomfield, CO; ²Lilly Research Laboratories, Indianapolis, IN; ³Zalgen Labs, Aurora, CO; ⁴BioStat Solutions, Inc, Frederick, MD. ***Address correspondence to this author at:** Corgenix Medical Corporation; 11575 Main Street, Suite 400; Broomfield, CO 80020. Fax 303-457-4519; e-mail bgiles@corgenix.com.

200 JALM | 200-212 | 03:02 | September 2018

The transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) superfamily of cytokines is evolutionarily conserved and, in mammals, includes 3 TGF-β ligands: TGF-β1, TGF-B2, and TGF-B3 (1). These 3 ligands are all synthesized as a polypeptide that is proteolytically cleaved into a large N-terminal latency-associated peptide and a shorter C-terminal peptide that is the active subunit (2). Additionally, TGF-βs 1, 2, and 3 are homologous with the active subunits, sharing 71%–79% amino acid identity (1). Although all 3 TGF-β ligands appear to have redundant functions in vitro, TGF-β1 is the most prevalent isoform, and deficiencies of TGF- β 1 result in severe effects (3, 4). In addition to the lethal inflammation observed in knockout mice, TGF-B1 has a wide variety of biological effects, including inhibition of cell proliferation, regulation of T-cell differentiation, immunesuppression, and regulation of the extracellular matrix (5-8). The different biological effects elicited by a single ligand are a function of receptor expression on diverse cell types and various signaling processes. Because TGF-β1 primarily functions to maintain homeostasis, dysregulation of signaling pathways can lead to disease progression.

Therapeutic agents that inhibit TGF- β signaling are being tested for clinical efficacy in several disease states. In cancer, inhibition of TGF- β signaling targets the tumor microenvironment to prevent progression via multiple mechanisms including inhibiting metastasis and reducing immunosuppression. Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), renal cell carcinoma, melanoma, glioblastoma, pancreatic carcinoma, and breast cancer are tumor types that are currently being evaluated for treatment with TGF- β -signaling inhibitors (*9–14*). Fibrosis of tissues such as lungs, heart, and kidneys is also associated with increased TGF- β -signaling, likely due to excess ligand availability (*15*). Aberrant TGF- β -signaling may also play a role in autoimmune diseases such as scleroderma, as well as diabetes and obesity (*16*, *17*).

Although inhibition of the TGF-β-signaling pathway is a promising therapeutic approach for many disease states, the diverse roles of this pathway in maintaining homeostasis require careful selection and monitoring of patients receiving TGF-B-signaling inhibitors. Ideally, patient selection and monitoring would be achieved through the identification of noninvasive biomarkers. Previous attempts to identify patients with TGF-B pathway activation measured the downstream signaling molecule p-SMAD2 in peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) (18). Despite the utility of measuring PBMC responses in the context of TGF- $\!\beta$ inhibition, the medical laboratory applicability of the method is limited due to the requirement for ex vivo PBMC stimulation. Therefore, an assay measuring a plasma biomarker that fits into the typical clinical laboratory work flow may be more broadly applicable for the selection and/or monitoring of patients receiving TGF-β-signaling inhibitors.

In pancreatic cancer and HCC, patients with high TGF- β 1 plasma levels had worse prognosis. In both tumor types, treatment with galunisertib, a TGF- β RI inhibitor, resulted in a reduction of plasma TGF- β 1 and a better outcome for patients (*19–22*). Here, we describe the analytical profile of an ELISA for measuring TGF- β 1 levels in human plasma and provide an example of clinical applicability in HCC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Blood draw

Venous blood was collected from study participants by standard venipuncture techniques. An additive-free Vacutainer was used to initiate blood

DOI: 10.1373/jalm.2017.025619

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$ 2018 American Association for Clinical Chemistry

⁵ **Nonstandard abbreviations:** TGF, transforming growth factor; HCC, hepatocellular carcinoma; PBMC, peripheral blood mononuclear cell; CTAD, citrate-theophyline-adenine-dipyridamole; OD, optical density; CLSI, Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute; LOQ, limit of quantitation; ECM, extracellular matrix; LTBPs, latent TGF-β- binding proteins.



flow and discarded; the tourniquet was removed, and blood was collected into CTAD (citratetheophylline-adenine-dipyridamole) VacutainerTM tubes (Becton, Dickinson and Company). Samples were gently inverted 3–4 times to mix and placed in an ice bath for 15–30 min. Samples were then centrifuged under various conditions to separate plasma, and plasma was transferred to fresh cryovial tubes. Samples were frozen at \leq –20 °C for a minimum of 24 h before analysis per the Instructions for Use.

ELISA

TGF- β 1 levels were measured by a colorimetric ELISA test kit (Corgenix Medical Corporation). The kit is only available as an IUO label and must be used in an approved clinical protocol. Briefly, 50-µL samples were treated for 2 h with 25-µL 1N HCl to activate native TGF- β 1, followed by neutralization with 25-µL 1.2N NaOH. Activated samples were then diluted, added to the precoated microwell plate in duplicate, and incubated for 1 h. Following washing, horseradish peroxidase–conjugated detection antibody was added to the plate and incubated for 1 h. Unbound detection antibody was washed off, substrate was added to the plate, and

color change was stopped after 30 min by the addition of stop solution. The absorbance (OD) of the colorimetric reaction was measure by a microplate reader (Molecular Devices) at 450 nm with a correction at 540 nm. The OD₄₅₀ signal was directly proportional to the amount of TGF- β 1 in the sample, and concentrations of TGF- β 1 were determined by interpolation against an unweighted 4-parameter standard curve prepared from serial dilutions of the recombinant-active TGF- β 1 calibrator provided with the kit (see Fig. 1 in the Data Supplement that accompanies the online version of this article at http://www.jalm.org/content/ vol3/issue2).

Precision

Precision of the TGF- β 1 ELISA test kit was evaluated per Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) Guideline EP5-A3 (*23*) following a 20 × 2 × 2 model on each of 3 lots of kits. Thirteen independent sample pools were prepared to span the range of the assay, dispensed into single use aliquots, and frozen at –70 °C. Within-run and total analytical precision were calculated with the CLSI dual-run precision evaluation test (*23*).

Linearity

Linearity evaluation of the TGF- β 1 ELISA was performed on kit Lot A per CLSI Guideline EP6-A (24). CTAD plasma pools representing high and low TGF- β 1 levels were used to prepare admixtures before sample activation. Samples were assayed in triplicate, and linearity was assessed by linear regression of the observed vs expected TGF- β 1 values.

Interferences and cross-reactivities

Interference of common blood components, drugs, and chemically similar molecules was assessed per CLSI Guideline EP7-A2 (25). High levels of each substance were added to CTAD plasma sample pools that spanned the range of the assay, and control CTAD plasma sample pools were matched to each substance by addition of equal volumes of appropriate solvent/buffer. Substances tested include triglycerides in saline (3000 mg/ dL; Lee Biosolutions), hemoglobin in water (1000 mg/dL; Sigma Aldrich), unconjugated bilirubin in DMSO (30 g/L; Sigma Aldrich), aspirin in ethanol (4.34mM; Sigma Aldrich), acetaminophen in water (1324 µmol/L; Sigma Aldrich), morphine sulfate in water (1.75 µmol/L; Cerilliant), furosemide in 0.1M sodium hydroxide (181 µmol/L; Sigma Aldrich), spironolactone in DMSO (1.44 µmol/L; Sigma Aldrich), omeprazole in DMSO (17.4 µmol/L; Sigma Aldrich), latent TGF-β1 complex in PBS (50 ng/mL; R&D Systems), TGF-β1.2 in PBS (50 ng/mL; R&D Systems), TGF-β2 in PBS (50 ng/mL; Gibco), TGF-β3 in PBS (50 ng/mL; Peprotech), TGF-β RI in PBS (50 ng/mL; R&D Systems), TGF-β RII in PBS (50 ng/mL; R&D Systems), TGF-β RIII in PBS (50 ng/mL; R&D Systems), TGF-a in PBS (50 ng/mL; Peprotech), and rheumatoid factor in serum (500 U/mL; US Biologicals). Interference was assessed by assay of 16 replicates of both test and control samples and calculation of the difference between the recovered TGF-β1 values.

Reference interval

A normal reference interval was established by use of the TGF- β 1 ELISA test kit per CLSI Guideline C28-A3C (*26*). Reference values were obtained from 119 apparently healthy donors equally distributed from 3 collection sites: ZenBio, Plasma Services Group, and Bioreclamation.

Sample stability

Stability of TGF-B1 in CTAD plasma was assessed for both storage conditions and freeze-thaw cycles. For storage condition stability, samples representing the range of the assay were stored at ambient temperature, 2-8°C, -15°C to -20°C, and -70 °C. Samples from each storage condition were assayed at various intervals with the -70 °C stored samples representing the control condition. For freeze-thaw cycle stability, frozen samples representing the range of the assay were allowed to thaw at ambient temperature and then refrozen at -70 °C for at least 12 h for 1 cycle. The process was repeated up to 4 additional times to prepare samples exposed to 1-5 freeze-thaw cycles. After all cycles were complete, TGF-B1 levels were assessed with a single assay.

Clinical relevance

Venous blood was collected from patients with refractory solid tumors who signed informed consent and entered 1 of 2 phase II trials studying galunisertib in hepatocellular carcinoma (*NCT01246986* and *NCT02178358*). An additive-free Vacutainer was used to initiate blood flow and discarded; the tourniquet was removed; 2-mL blood was collected into discard tube, and then 5-mL blood collected into second syringe and added gently to a CTAD tube. Samples were gently inverted 3 times to mix and placed in an ice bath for 15–60 min. Samples were centrifuged at 2500g to separate plasma, then plasma was transferred to a fresh tube and immediately frozen at \leq -20° for shipment to a central laboratory for testing.

.....

Clinical trials were approved by the independent Institutional Review Boards at study sites in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki, and all patients properly consented consistent with Good Clinical Practices.

Statistics

Data were analyzed with GraphPad Prism (GraphPad Software) and R (27). Linearity and age stratification were assessed by linear regression analysis. Differences between groups were assessed by Student *t*-test, and a $P \le 0.05$ was considered significant.

RESULTS

Sample preparation effects

Platelet activation is a major contributor to serum TGF-β1 levels, and contaminating platelets in a plasma sample could increase observed levels of TGF-β1. Sample preparation effects were tested by attainment of sequential tubes of CTAD plasma from volunteers (n = 10) and separation of matched plasma by different methods: a single centrifugation at 400g or an initial centrifugation at 2000g, followed by a second centrifugation at 2500g. Each plasma sample was then tested over 6 independent assays to evaluate sample preparation effects on TGF-β1 values and precision (Fig. 1). The single centrifugation at 400g resulted in increased TGF-β1 levels compared to samples prepared with the initial centrifugation at 2000g followed by a second centrifugation at 2500g (Fig. 1A). Sample preparation also affected the precision of TGF-B1 recovery, with the 2-step approach having an improved precision profile compared to the single-step approach (Fig. 1B). Because of the improved precision, the two-step approach was used to prepare additional samples.

Analytical performance

Precision of the TGF-β1 ELISA test kit was assessed by testing 13 CTAD samples spanning the range of the assay in duplicate twice a day over 20 days with 3 independent lots of kit materials (n =240 measurements per sample). Pooled withinrun CVs ranged from 3.7% to 8.2%, while the pooled total CV ranged from 7.2% to 28.4% (see Table 1 in the online Data Supplement). Acceptance criteria were established before study execution per consultation with the Food and Drug Administration. Although all samples demonstrated within-run variability that was within the acceptance criteria, total variance for level 2 (736 pg/mL) was outside of the acceptance criteria (Fig. 2A). Linearity of the TGF-β1 ELISA test kit was assessed by preparation of admixtures of high and low CTAD plasma samples and tested on a single lot of kit materials. The assay was found to be linear between 568 and 14255 pg/mL, with a slope of 1.010 ± 0.018 , y intercept at -42.26 ± 155.9 , and residuals standard deviation of 451.5 (Fig. 2B). The limit of quantification (LOQ) was estimated with a functional sensitivity method outlined in CLSI that used the precision profile at the low end of the assay range. For this estimation, the accuracy target is based solely on the precision target (20% total CV) and yielded an estimated LOQ of 1063 pg/mL.

Interference and selectivity

Interference of common blood components, drugs, and similar molecules was assessed by adding substances into CTAD plasma samples spanning the range of the assay. TGF- β 1 levels were determined and compared to control samples, with interference defined as the difference in recovered values exceeding assay repeatability for a given level. None of the common blood components or drugs tested resulted in assay interference in samples above the LOQ (Fig. 3 and data not shown). Additionally, the assay was selective for TGF- β 1 with only TGF- β 1.2, a heterodimer of TGF- β 1 and TGF- β 2 not found in

.....



humans (28, 29), resulting in differences between test and control samples greater than the assay repeatability (Fig. 3 and see Fig. 2 in the online Data Supplement). Assay repeatability was prospectively defined for each sample level as part of the testing protocol and is a function of assay precision and preparation uncertainty (23).

Reference interval

To determine the normal range of TGF-β1 levels in the population, 119 individual CTAD plasma samples were obtained and tested on 3 lots of kit materials. The reference interval of the samples tested was 1111-4542 pg/mL (Fig. 4A). The 119 samples included 60 males and 59 females with an ethnic distribution that included 40 African Americans, 41 Caucasians, and 38 Hispanics, and an age distribution of 18-63 years old (mean: 37). For the total population, the mean (SD) TGF-β1 level was 1985 ± 1488 pg/mL (Fig. 4A). Sex stratification analysis (Fig. 4B) did not indicate a significant difference between males (1735 ± 364 pg/mL) and females $(2240 \pm 2058 \text{ pg/mL})$. Equivalent levels of TGF- β 1 were recovered for African Americans (1746 ± 475 pg/mL), Caucasians (1727 ± 394 pg/mL), and

Hispanics (2456 ± 2412 pg/mL) (Fig. 4C). Linear regression analysis of donor ages resulted in a slope of 2.297 ± 11.11 that did not significantly deviate from zero (P = 0.8366; Fig. 4D). Taken together, none of the variables tested (sex, ethnicity, and age) resulted in significant effects on TGF- β 1 levels.

ARTICLES

Analyte stability

Stability of TGF- β 1 in CTAD plasma was assessed for both storage condition stability and freezethaw tolerance (Fig. 5). For storage condition stability testing, samples spanning the range of the assay were stored at various temperatures and assayed at temperature-specific intervals. In all the storage conditions tested, TGF- β 1 levels were within 15% of the day 0 test, indicating robust stability of the analyte in matrix (Fig. 5, A–C). Additionally, freeze–thaw cycles did not affect TGF- β 1 levels through the tested cycles, with each sample recovering within 15% of the day 0 value (Fig. 5D).

Clinical relevance

34 HCC patients from 2 trials (24 from JBAS, and 10 from JBAK) were used to determine the range of TGF- β 1 levels in the HCC population. The 34



samples included 29 males and 5 females, with an ethic distribution that included 24 Asian, 3 Caucasians, and 7 Missing (not reported), and an age distribution of 35–83 years (mean, 60). For the total population, the mean (SD) TGF- β 1 level was 4243 ± 2008 pg/mL (Fig. 6A). Sex stratification

analysis (Fig. 6B) did not indicate a significant difference between males (4275 \pm 2090 pg/mL) and females (4057 \pm 1617 pg/mL). Equivalent levels of TGF- β 1 were recovered for Asian (4143 \pm 2277 pg/mL), Caucasians (4480 \pm 1227 pg/mL), and Missing (4485 \pm 1287 pg/mL) (Fig. 6C). Similarly, etiology of



HCC did not have a significant effect on TGF- β 1 levels, with all risk factors analyzed (hepatitis B, hepatitis C, and alcoholic liver disease) having equivalent levels (Fig. 6D). Taken together, none of the variables tested (sex, ethnicity, and etiology) resulted in significant effects on TGF- β 1 levels within HCC patients.

DISCUSSION

The TGF- β -signaling pathway is implicated in several disease states, and numerous therapeutic approaches are in various stages of development (30). Because of the various biological effects of TGF- β signaling, an assay to reliably measure circulating TGF- β 1 would be an important tool to assist with patient prognostic assessment, patient selection, or patient monitoring during treatment with a TGF-β inhibitor. The data reported here describe the analytical validation of a sandwich ELISA for the determination of TGF-B1 levels in human CTAD plasma and demonstrate that the TGF-B1 ELISA meets the performance and quality specifications for laboratory medicine. CTAD tubes were used in this study because, compared to traditional plasma collections, CTAD reduces platelet activation and is suggested to provide improved measurement of an individual's TGF-β1 levels (31). Assay precision was determined for samples ranging from 633 to 10822 pg/mL (Fig. 2), with total variance ranging from 28.4% to 7.2%. The TGF-B1 ELISA was found to be linear across the entire range of the assay (568-14255 pg/mL; Fig. 2). Importantly, no interference of common blood components or cross-reactivity with similar human





indicated intervals. For freeze-thaw stability (D), samples were assayed after all freeze-thaw cycles were completed.

molecules was observed in samples above the LOQ (Fig. 3). Reference range testing identified the interval to be 1111-4542 pg/mL and suggested that there is no effect of sex, ethnicity, or age on TGF-B1 levels of apparently healthy individuals (Fig. 4). Interestingly, 3 outlying individuals were identified, and the reason for the increased levels reguires additional study and/or patient information that is not available. Additionally, the TGF-β1 levels of HCC patients were increased when compared to the apparently healthy individuals that defined the reference range of the assay (Fig. 6). Taken together, the TGF-B1 ELISA described in this report is a robust assay that could be investigated for assisting with selecting patients for treatment with therapeutic agents targeting the TGF-β-signaling pathway.

Accuracy studies were not performed during the course of this work. Although laboratorydeveloped tests for human TGF-B1 are available, none of the available methods uses CTAD plasma as the sample. CTAD and EDTA plasma collected from the same donor at the same time point results in increased EDTA plasma TGF-B1 levels (31 and data not shown). Additionally, the presence of the latency-associated peptide complicates spike recovery as the measured analyte is a fraction of the added analyte. For the assay described in this report, the calibrator value was experimentally assigned by the National Institute for Biological Standards and Control (NIBSC) TGF-B1 standard (NIBSC code 89/514). NIBSC standards are used to ensure test results are commutable between testing locations. Given the challenges listed above,



NIBSC TGF- β 1 could be used as an analyte to establish the accuracy of similar assays in the future.

Stability of TGF- β 1 in plasma was assessed for both storage conditions and freeze-thaw cycles (Fig. 5). Surprisingly, plasma TGF- β 1 levels remained stable for all conditions tested. One possible explanation for the observed stability is that active TGF- β 1 is a 24-kDa homodimer that is joined by 3 interchain disulfide bonds, which could provide a structural basis for the observed stability profile (1). A second possibility is that structural features of the latent TGF- β 1 complex present in human

plasma may improve stability of the active component. Plasma TGF- β 1 is noncovalently bound to the latency-associated peptide, which the solved crystal structure has revealed to completely encircle the active component (*32*). While this structure is expected to enforce biological latency of TGF- β 1, another effect could be to improve stability of the active molecule. Importantly, the stability profile observed in the experiments above describes the stability of the epitopes recognized by the assay antibodies and did not include assessment of biological activity.

Another unexpected finding was the effect of sample preparation on both TGF-B1 levels and precision, with a single, slower centrifugation step being associated with increased TGF-B1 levels and increased imprecision (Fig. 1). The most likely explanation for these observations is that the 2-spin approach removes platelets from plasma more effectively. Platelets contain reservoirs of TGF-B1 that are released upon activation, and contaminating platelets would be expected to increase TGF- β 1 levels (33). Although TGF- β 1 reservoirs in contaminating platelets may explain the increased levels, the connection to increased imprecision is less clear. One possibility is that platelets were not equally distributed during the sample aliquoting process, leading to aliquots of the same sample with inconsistent degrees of platelet contamination. Another explanation is that along with platelets, the single-spin approach failed to remove other components of the extracellular matrix (ECM). In addition to binding TGF-β1, the latency-associated peptide also binds to latent TGF-β-binding proteins (LTBPs), and these LTBPs can then form covalent complexes with matrix proteins (*34*). Contaminating ECM components could include TGF- β 1 bound within LTBP complexes, resulting in increased TGF- β 1 levels. Additionally, variability of the LTBP-ECM complexes could also affect activation kinetics, which could contribute to increased imprecision. Proper sample preparation, therefore, is a critical factor in the performance of the TGF- β 1 ELISA.

The TGF-β superfamily of cytokines is involved in a wide variety of biological processes and has emerged as an attractive therapeutic target. Indeed, inhibition of TGF- β signaling is being developed as a therapeutic approach for several disease states including, but not limited to, cancer, fibrosis, scleroderma, and Marfan syndrome (30). The importance of TGF- β signaling makes the pathway both an intriguing and biologically complex target that requires careful and rational patient selection. Potential approaches for selecting patients include evaluation of circulating biomarkers, peripheral blood mononuclear cell responses (18), and genetic analysis (35). Because TGF-β1 is the most prevalent circulating member of the superfamily (1), it is a rational choice as a biomarker to assist with patient selection. In conclusion, the TGF- $\beta 1$ ELISA described in this report is a candidate for further evaluation in an approved clinical trial and could become a valuable tool to help select and/or monitor patients for the emerging class of therapeutics that inhibit TGF-β signaling.

Author Contributions: All authors confirmed they have contributed to the intellectual content of this paper and have met the following 4 requirements: (a) significant contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data; (b) drafting or revising the article for intellectual content; (c) final approval of the published article; and (d) agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the article thus ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the article are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Authors' Disclosures or Potential Conflicts of Interest: *Upon manuscript submission, all authors completed the author disclosure form.* Employment or Leadership: K.A. Benhadji, B. Lin, and J.A. Fill, Eli Lilly; D.K.S. Nelson and K.R. Pitts, Corgenix. Consultant or Advisory Role: None declared. Stock Ownership: K.A. Benhadji, and J.A. Fill, Eli Lilly: Honoraria: None declared. Research Funding: K.A. Benhadji, Eli Lilly; S. Wang, Biostat Solutions Inc. Expert Testimony: None declared. Patents: None declared.

Role of Sponsor: No sponsor was declared.

Acknowledgments: The authors would like to thank Susanne Kloeker-Rhoades, Kristin Williams, Daniel Simpson, and Ann Steinbarger for critical review of the manuscript. The TGF-β1 Test Kit described here has not been cleared or approved by the US Food and Drug Administration. The kit is not for clinical or diagnostic use.

REFERENCES

- Robertson IB, Rifkin DB. Unchaining the beast; insights from structural and evolutionary studies on TGFβ secretion, sequestration, and activation. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 2013;24:355–72.
- Munger JS, Harpel JG, Gleizes PE, Mazzieri R, Nunes I, Rifkin DB. Latent transforming growth factor-beta: structural features and mechanisms of activation. Kidney Int 1997;51:1376–82.
- **3.** Li MO, Wan YY, Sanjabi S, Robertson A-KL, Flavell RA. Transforming growth factor-beta regulation of immune responses. Annu Rev Immunol 2006;24:99–146.
- Shull MM, Ormsby I, Kier AB, Pawlowski S, Diebold RJ, Yin M, et al. Targeted disruption of the mouse transforming growth factor-beta 1 gene results in multifocal inflammatory disease. Nature 1992;359:693–9.
- Derynck R, Akhurst RJ, Balmain A. TGF-beta signaling in tumor suppression and cancer progression. Nat Genet 2001;29:117–29.
- Maruyama T, Li J, Vaque JP, Konkel JE, Wang W, Zhang B, et al. Control of the differentiation of regulatory T cells and T(H)17 cells by the DNA-binding inhibitor Id3. Nat Immunol 2011;12:86–95.
- Gorelik L, Flavell RA. Immune-mediated eradication of tumors through the blockade of transforming growth factor-beta signaling in T cells. Nat Med 2001;7:1118–22.
- Fu K, Corbley MJ, Sun L, Friedman JE, Shan F, Papadatos JL, et al. SM16, an orally active TGF-beta type I receptor inhibitor prevents myofibroblast induction and vascular fibrosis in the rat carotid injury model. Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol 2008;28:665–71.
- Herbertz S, Sawyer JS, Stauber AJ, Gueorguieva I, Driscoll KE, Estrem ST, et al. Clinical development of galunisertib (LY2157299 monohydrate), a small molecule inhibitor of transforming growth factor-beta signaling pathway. Drug Des Devel Ther 2015;9:4479–99.
- **10.** Morris JC, Shapiro GI, Tan AR, Lawrence DP, Olencki TE, Dezube BJ, et al. Phase I/II study of GC1008: a human anti-transforming growth factor-beta (TGFβ) monoclonal antibody (MAb) in patients with advanced malignant

melanoma (MM) or renal cell carcinoma (RCC) [Abstract]. ASCO Meet Abstr 2008;26:9028.

- **11.** Bouquet F, Pal A, Pilones KA, Demaria S, Hann B, Akhurst RJ, et al. TGF β 1 inhibition increases the radiosensitivity of breast cancer cells in vitro and promotes tumor control by radiation in vivo. Clin Cancer Res 2011;17:6754–65.
- Rodon Ahnert J, Baselga J, Calvo E, Seoane J, Brana I, Sicart E, et al. First human dose (FHD) study of the oral transforming growth factor-beta receptor I kinase inhibitor LY2157299 in patients with treatmentrefractory malignant glioma [Abstract]. ASCO Meet Abstr 2011;29:3011.
- Roldán Urgoiti GB, Singh AD, Easaw JC. Extended adjuvant temozolomide for treatment of newly diagnosed glioblastoma multiforme. J Neurooncol 2012; 108:173–7.
- Oettle H, Seufferlein T, Luger T, Schmid RM, von Wichert G, Endlicher E, et al. Final results of a phase I/II study in patients with pancreatic cancer, malignant melanoma, and colorectal carcinoma with trabedersen [Abstract]. ASCO Meet Abstr 2012;30:4034.
- **15.** Liu Y. Renal fibrosis: new insights into the pathogenesis and therapeutics. Kidney Int 2006;69:213–7.
- 16. Pardali E, Ten Dijke P. TGF β signaling and cardiovascular diseases. Int J Biol Sci 2012;8:195–213.
- Yadav H, Quijano C, Kamaraju AK, Gavrilova O, Malek R, Chen W, et al. Protection from obesity and diabetes by blockade of TGF-β/Smad3 signaling. Cell Metab 2011;14: 67–79.
- Farrington DL, Yingling JM, Fill JA, Yan L, Qian Y-W, Shou J, et al. Development and validation of a phosphorylated SMAD ex vivo stimulation assay. Biomarkers 2007;12: 313–30.
- 19. Faivre SJ, Santoro A, Kelley RK, Merle P, Gane E, Douillard J-Y, et al. A phase 2 study of a novel transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β1) receptor I kinase inhibitor, LY2157299 monohydrate (LY), in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [Abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2014;32:abstr LBA173.

- 20. Giannelli G, Santoro A, Kelley RK, Gane E, Paradis V, Cleverly A, et al. Final results of a phase 2 study of galunisertib, a transforming growth factor-beta (TGF-β) receptor I kinase inhibitor, in patients with advanced hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) [Abstract]. ILCA Annu Conf 2016;abstr:P-08.
- Melisi D, Garcia-Carbonero R, Macarulla T, Pezet D, Deplanque G, Fuchs M, et al. Abstract CT068: A randomized phase II, double-blind study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of galunisertib+gemcitabine (GG) or gemcitabine+placebo (GP) in patients with unresectable pancreatic cancer (PC) [Abstract]. Cancer Res 2016;76.
- **22.** Lin T-H, Shao Y-Y, Chan S-Y, Huang C-Y, Hsu C-H, Cheng A-L. High serum transforming growth factor-1 levels predict outcome in hepatocellular carcinoma patients treated with sorafenib. Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:3678–84.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. EP05-A3 Evaluation of precision of quantitative measurement procedures; approved guideline—third edition. CLSI. 2014.
- **24.** Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. EP06-A evaluation of the linearity of quantitative measurement procedures: a statistical approach; approved guideline. CLSI. 2003.
- **25.** Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. EP07-A2 interference testing in clinical chemistry; approved guideline—second edition. CLSI. 2005.
- **26.** Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. EP28-A3C defining, establishing, and verifying reference intervals in the clinical laboratory; approved guideline—third edition. CLSI. 2010.

- **27.** R Core Team. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. Vienna, Austria. 2013.
- **28.** Cheifetz S, Weatherbee JA, Tsang ML-S, Anderson JK, Mole JE, Lucas R, et al. The transforming growth factor-β system, a complex pattern of cross-reactive ligands and receptors. Cell 1987;48:409–15.
- **29.** Ogawa Y, Schmidt DK, Dasch JR, Chang RJ, Glaser CB. Purification and characterization of transforming growth factor-beta 2.3 and -beta 1.2 heterodimers from bovine bone. J Biol Chem 1992;267:2325–8.
- **30.** Akhurst RJ, Hata A. Targeting the TGFβ signalling pathway in disease. Nat Rev Drug Discov 2012;11:790–811.
- O'Brien PJ, Ramanathan R, Yingling JM, Baselga J, Rothenberg ML, Carducci M. Analysis and variability of TGFβ measurements in cancer patients with skeletal metastases. Biologics 2008;2:563–9.
- 32. Shi M, Zhu J, Wang R, Chen X, Mi L, Walz T, et al. Latent TGF- β structure and activation. Nature 2011; 474:343–9.
- 33. Assoian RK, and Sporn MB. Type β transforming growth factor in human platelets: release during platelet degranulation and action on vascular smooth muscle cells. J Cell Biol 1986;102:1217–23.
- **34.** Travis MA, Sheppard D. TGF-β activation and function in immunity. Annu Rev Immunol 2014; 32:51–82.
- **35.** Bornstein S, White R, Malkoski S, Oka M, Han G, Cleaver T, et al. Smad4 loss in mice causes spontaneous head and neck cancer with increased genomic instability and inflammation. J Clin Invest 2009;119:3408–19.